2024 NoCO CoC Program Funding Local Competition
Project Rating Application
RENEWAL PROJECTS (PSH, RRH)

*BOLD questions are scored

Required Attachments — **2** — 3%

Most recent organization financial audit	0.5	Score if attached and complete
Budget Spreadsheet with 25% Match	0.5	Score if attached and complete
eLOCCS drawdown screenshot from last completed grant year	0.5	Score if attached and complete
Annual Performance Report (APR) pulled from HMIS for period of 7/1/2023-6/30/2024	0.5	Score if attached and complete

Contact Information

Organization Name
Unique Entity Identifier (UEI)
Point of Contact for CoC Project
Point of Contact Email Address

Basic Project Information — **6** — 10%

1. Project Name:
   a.
2. Project Type
   a. Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH)
   b. Rapid Rehousing (RRH)
3. Are you seeking to expand this project with additional CoC program dollars?
   a. Yes
   b. No
4. What is the amount of funding for this Renewal Project as listed on the 2024 GIW?
   a. Amount:
5. If you are seeking expansion, how much expansion funding are you seeking?
   a. Amount:
6. **Description of Project: Please provide a detailed description that addresses the entire scope of the project, including:** — **6**

a. Primary population aligned with CoC-wide prioritization	2 (2.5 possible w/ bonus)	Score 2 Highly Vulnerable with High Service Needs, Score 1.5 Veterans, Score 1 Single Adults, Score .5 Families w/ children (+.5 score if serving mult. Populations)
b. Type of housing aligned with CoC-Prioritization	2	Score 2 PSH w/ Comprehensive Services*, Score 1 for RRH or *PSH w/out Comprehensive services
c. perceived impact of project based on numbers served and services provided	2	Score 2 High, Score 1 Moderate, No Score Low

Project Performance — **24** — 40%

All renewal projects will be rated on the following system performance measures, as indicated on the project Annual Performance Report (APR) pulled from HMIS for the dates of 7/1/23-6/30/24.

Did applicant elect to not personally enter our program data and authorize the Rating & Prioritization Committee to complete this section using data from our project APR?
a. Yes
b. No

7. **Serving Vulnerable Populations** — **6**

To what extent does the project serve vulnerable populations?

a. (Q13a2) Number of conditions at project start

i. None		Minus point if more than 50% of total
ii. 1 Condition	1	Score if more than 20% of total
iii. 2 Conditions	1	Score if more than 20% of total
iv. 3+ Conditions	2	Score if more than 20% of total

b. (Q14a) History of domestic violence

i. Total Yes	1	Score if more than 15% of total
ii. Total No		

c. (Q26a) Chronicity

i. Total chronically homeless	1	Score if more than 50% of total
ii. Total not chronically homeless		

8. **Serving Eligible Populations** — **2**

a. (Q15) Living situation at project start

To what extent does the project only provide housing and services to HUD-eligible populations?

i. Total from homeless living situations	2	Full points if more than 98% of total
ii. Total from temporary living situations	-1	Minus point if more than 3% of total
iii. Total from permanent living situations	-1	Minus points is more than 1% of total

9. **Increasing Income** — **3**

To what extent does the project assist participants with increasing income?

a. (Q19a1) Client Cash Income Change – by Start and Latest Status

i. Average change in overall income	3	3 points if 15%+, 2 points if 10-14%, 1 point if 5-9%, 0 points if less than 5%

From column "Performance Measure: Adults who gained or increased income from start to annual assessment, average gain"

10. **Rapid Returns to Housing** — **7**

To what extent does the project support participants in quickly gaining and then maintaining permanent housing?

a. (Q22c) Length of time between project start and housing move-in

i. Total persons served		
ii. Total persons moved into housing	2	2 points if percentage of total served and total moved in is higher than 95%, 1 point if between 80-94%, 0 points if <79%

			Points		Scoring Criteria
	iii. Average length of time to housing	2			2 points average length of time is 60 days or less, 1 point if between 61-120 days, 0 points if >121 days
	iv. Total persons exited without move in	-1			Minus points if percentage of total served and total exited without move in is higher than 20%
b. (Q23c) Exits to permanent housing					
	i. Percentage of persons exiting to positive housing destination	3			3 points if percentage of total served and total moved in is higher than 90%, 2 point if between 70-89%, 1 point if between 50-69%, 0 points if <49%
11. Data Quality			4		
To what extent does the project ensure timely and complete entry of participant data into HMIS or Comparable Database?					
a. (Q6a) Data entry Personally Identifiable Information (Overall Score)					
	i. Overall Score - % of Issue Rate	1			Score if less than 5%, no score if 6-14%, -1 if more than 15%
b. (Q6b) Data entry Universal Data Elements (All fields)					
	i. Overall Score - % of Issue Rate	1			Score if all fields less than 5%, no score any fields 6-14%, -1 if any fields more than 15%
c. (Q6e) Data entry timeliness					
i. Number of records at start >7 days		1			Score if 95% 7 days or less, -1 if have any records 11+ days
ii. Number of records at exit >7 days		1			Score if 95% 7 days or less, -1 if have any records 11+ days
12. Is there any further information or context related to these data points as reported in the APR that you would like the Rating & Ranking Committee to know?			2		Up to 2 additional points if explanation is reasonable, offsets loss of points from other sections

Coordinated Entry Participation		4	7%
13. What is the level of participation in CAHPS case concerfencing and/or committee work?	2		Full points committee work + CC, 1 point if only CC, no points if no participation
14. What is the percentage of successful referrals to the program between 7/1/23-6/30/24?	2		Full points if 90%+, 1 Point if 80-89%, no points if 70-79%, -1 point if <70%

Housing First		9	15%
15. Describe specific examples of how the Housing First model is used in the design and implementation of the project. Please include information throughout the entire housing process, such as initial enrollment, housing search, retention strategies, etc.	5		1 point if answer is complete and showed effort, 2 points for showing commitment to Housing First, 2 points for describing at least 2 specific examples of Housing First in action,
16. Describe a specific example of how the project implements Harm Reduction practices.	2		Full points for complete answer, with at least 1 specific example that demonstrates understanding of Harm Reduction
17.Describe a specific example of how the project implements Trauma Informed Care practices.	2		Full points for complete answer, with at least 1 specific example that demonstrates understanding of Trauma Informed Care

Inclusion of Lived Experience		4	7%
18. Describe efforts made by your organization to obtain and include input from people with lived experience of homelessness to influence the organization, the project design, and/or policies and procedures.	1		
	3		Score if answer is complete and showed effort.
			Full points for describing at least 1 specific example of including PLEH to influence programming, commitment to meaningfully including PLEH

Advancing Racial Equity		5	8%
19. Describe efforts made by your organization to obtain and include input from people of different races/ethnicities, particularly those who are over-represented in the homeless population.	3		1 point for complete answer that showed effort, 2 points describing at least 1 specific example of including input from different races to influence programming
20. Describe how your agency has identified barriers to participation in your housing program faced by people of different races/ethnicities *and* the steps taken to eliminate these barriers.	2		1 point for specific description of barrier face by people of different races, 1 point for specific step taken to eliminate that barrier

Financial Administration		6	10%
21.What was the percentage of unexpended funds from the most recent completed grant year?	2		
a. Percentage	2		Full points if less than 1%, 1 point if 1-3%, -1 point if 4%+
b. Explanation if over 1%	1		Add point if explanation is reasonable
22.From the most recent organizational audit, list any Significant Deficiencies and/or Material Weaknesses identified	0		(Minus 1 possible)
a. Presence of Material Weaknesses/Significant Deficiencies	-1		Minus point if these are present
b. Explanation/Correction Plan	1		Plus 1 point if reasonable plan for corrective action identified
23.Completed budget with match	4		
a. Budget is complete and 25% match is identified	3		1 point for complete budget, 1 for match secured, 1 for ample detail provided
b. Budget reasonableness based on type and population	1		Score for perceived reasonable based on type of project/population served/services provided

TOTAL (Out of 60)
40% based on system performance data