

Final Report: 2021 Point in Time & Housing Inventory Count



Northern Colorado CoC 2021 Point in Time & Housing Inventory Count

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	3
Introduction	4
Point in Time Count Overview	4
Interpreting PIT Data	6
Housing Inventory Count Overview	6
Data Definitions	7
Impacts of COVID-19.....	8
Northern Colorado Overall Data Summary	9
Larimer County Overall Data.....	12
Weld County Overall Data	15
Comparison between Larimer and Weld County.....	18
Youth Count Summary	21
Housing Inventory Count	22
Housing Inventory Count by Program.....	23
Appendix A: Program Specific Data	25
Larimer County Program Totals	25
Weld County Program Totals	29
Appendix B: Comparison between County Population and PIT Count Data	33

Acknowledgements

Regional PIT Coordinators

Regional Point-in-time (PIT) Coordinators work to publicize the count and provide updated information about homelessness programs in their region. Prior to and during the count, coordinators arrange and communicate with point people in each identified program. They provide training, coordinate surveyors and volunteers, and distribute survey forms. PIT Coordinators also collect and organize all PIT forms to help compile the data quickly and efficiently.

The 2021 PIT count for the Northern Colorado Continuum of Care (NoCO CoC) would not have been possible without the hard work and dedication of our Regional PIT Coordinators and their many volunteers.

County	Town	Regional Coordinators
Larimer	Loveland	Alison Hade, City of Loveland
Larimer	Fort Collins	Zach Penland, Housing Catalyst
Weld	Greeley	Shawn Walcott, United Way of Weld County

PIT Final Report

Tory White developed the final report on behalf of the Northern Colorado CoC with assistance from Meredith Munoz, Shawn Walcott, and Melanie Woolman, all representatives of the Collaborative Applicant –United Way of Weld County – for the NoCO CoC and Rachel Kirkland and Scott Rich, both representatives of the HMIS Lead – Homeward Alliance – for the NoCO CoC.

PIT Work Group

The Point in Time Work Group was established at the end of 2020 to work towards strengthening the NoCO CoC PIT Counts. The 2021 PIT count benefitted from the input and expertise of the following PIT Work Group members:

- Alison Hade, City of Loveland
- Rachel Kirkland, Homeward Alliance
- Zach Penland, Housing Catalyst
- Scott Rich, Homeward Alliance
- Shawn Walcott, United Way of Weld County
- Melanie Woolman, United Way of Weld County

Introduction

Point in Time Count Overview

What is a Point in Time Count?

A Homeless Point in Time Count (PIT Count) is a federally mandated count (via the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act) of individuals experiencing homelessness on any given night in a community. This count is to take place during the last 10 calendar days of January. However, due to the COVID-19 Pandemic, the NoCO CoC was granted a waiver from the US Dept. of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) to allow for additional planning time with local health departments and the PIT Count was pushed back to the month of February. Every year, a count of homeless individuals and families in shelters is completed. Every other year, in addition to the sheltered count, a count of unsheltered homeless individuals is completed. Unsheltered data was supposed to be collected in 2021 however the NoCO CoC was granted a waiver from HUD to not collect unsheltered data, which was a direct response to the COVID-19 pandemic. This waiver was issued in order to help stop community spread to a vulnerable population across the CoC. The data collected during the Point in Time Count is reported to HUD.

In 2021 the night of the PIT Count was February 22, 2021

Purpose of the Point in Time Count

The purpose of a PIT Count is to help local communities analyze year-over-year trend analysis data to 1) determine the number of people experiencing homelessness in their community compared to previous years and 2) utilize that data in order to better address the needs of this population. By assessing the needs of individuals experiencing homelessness, communities are more adequately aware of the number of individuals experiencing homelessness, and thus, better able to provide necessary resources. Sheltered counts are particularly important for the programming and system planning established shelters in a community. Unsheltered counts are important for local homeless planning and program development.

Who is Counted

For the **sheltered count**, only those individuals and families, who meet the following criteria, as defined by 24 CFR 578.3 of the Homeless Definition Final Rule, can be counted:

“An individual or family living in a supervised publicly or privately operated shelter designated to provide temporary living arrangement (including congregate shelters, transitional housing, and hotels and motels paid for by charitable organizations or by federal, state, or local government programs for low-income individuals).”

For the **unsheltered count**, only those individuals and families who meet the following criteria, as defined by 24 CFR 578.3 of the Homeless Definition Final Rule, can be counted:

“An individual or family with a primary nighttime residence that is a public or private place not designed for or ordinarily used as a regular sleeping accommodation for human beings, including a car, park, abandoned building, bus or train station, airport, or camping ground.”

Any individual that does not meet these requirements should **NOT** be included in the PIT Count. Some instances of individuals who do not fit this requirement include, but are not limited to:

- Those who are “precariously housed” or at-risk of becoming homeless; this would include persons temporarily staying with friends and/or family, or who are “doubled-up” or “couch surfing”;
- Persons in mental health facilities, chemical dependency facilities, or criminal justice facilities;
- Formerly homeless persons residing in Permanent Supportive Housing programs, Shelter Plus Care (S+C) programs, or who are utilizing Section 8 Housing Vouchers, Veteran Affairs Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH) Vouchers, or Tenant Based Rental Assistance;
- Persons residing in their own unit with assistance from a Rapid Re-housing Provider on the night of the count.

Note: Those who are currently homeless, as defined above, but are awaiting placement through another program should be included in the count. For example, a household that has Rapid Rehousing assistance, but has not yet found a place to stay should be counted.

All **homeless youth** who meet the above criteria for the sheltered and unsheltered PIT Count and who are NOT in a Host Homes Program, in foster care, wards of the state, or otherwise under government custody or supervision on the night designated for the count should be included. Per HUD guidance, youth who are defined as homeless by other Federal definitions (such as those doubled-up or couch surfing) should not be included in the final count numbers that are submitted to HUD¹.

¹ <https://files.hudexchange.info/resources/documents/PIT-Count-Methodology-Guide.pdf>

Interpreting PIT Data

The PIT Count provides a snapshot of homelessness on a single night in February. Due to the transient nature of the population and the large geographic area of the Northern Colorado region, it is extremely difficult to capture all homeless individuals and families. Because of this, the 2021 PIT count is considered an underrepresentation of homelessness in Northern Colorado.

The PIT survey relies on self-reporting, which may affect the data. Individuals may be unwilling to disclose certain information or may not realize that they meet the criteria for a specific condition. Many of the disabling conditions included on the PIT survey are stigmatized, which may lead to underreporting.

Finally, changes in the homeless population year to year cannot be solely determined by examining PIT data. Each year, changes are made to the PIT methodology and survey forms. Additionally, local conditions such as the number of participating agencies, the number of volunteers, and unseasonably warm or cool weather can influence both the number of individuals who seek shelter and the number of individuals included in the PIT count. Specific to the 2021 PIT, only a sheltered count happened due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Please be cautious when comparing PIT count data over time. Increases or decreases in the homeless population may be due to methodology or changes in local conditions instead of true changes in the homeless population.

Housing Inventory Count Overview

What is a Housing Inventory Count?

The annual Housing Inventory Count (HIC) provides a snapshot of a CoC's housing options. The HIC is an inventory of housing conducted annually during the last ten days in January. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the HIC was delayed, and the count was completed on February 22, 2021. The report tallies the number of beds and units available on the night designated for the count by program type, and includes beds dedicated to serve persons who are homeless as well as persons in (Permanent) Supportive Housing.

Data Definitions

In order to create this report, the NoCO CoC used HUD-provided definitions to create several variables. The following table contains a list of all variables that are mentioned throughout this report and their HUD definitions:

TABLE 1: Variables Discussed

Variable	HUD-Provided Definitions
<i>Program Types</i>	
Emergency Shelter (ES)	Any facility with overnight sleeping accommodations, the primary purpose of which is to provide temporary shelter for individuals experiencing homelessness in general or for specific populations of homeless individuals
Transitional Housing (TH)	A project that is designed to provide housing and appropriate supportive services to homeless persons to facilitate movement to independent living within 24 months, or a longer period approved by HUD
<i>Household (HH) Types</i>	
Household (HH)	Anywhere from a single individual to a family of any size traveling and staying together
HH with at least one adult and one child	Households with (at least) one adult (including youth ages 18 to 24) and one child
<i>Youth Categories</i>	
Parenting Youth	A youth who identifies as the parent or legal guardian of one or more children who are present with or sleeping in the same place as the youth parent, where there is no person over age 24 in the household
Unaccompanied Youth	Persons under age 25 who are not presenting or sleeping in the same place as their parent or legal guardian or their own children
<i>Chronically Homeless</i>	
Chronically homeless individual	<p>A person who:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is homeless and lives in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter; and Has been homeless and living or residing in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter continuously for at least 1 year or on at least four separate occasions in the last 3 years where the combined length of time homeless in those occasions is at least 12 months; and Has a disability

Impacts of COVID-19

The COVID-19 pandemic, created obstacles to the day-to-day homelessness response system. Emergency shelters were required to reduce their capacity and street outreach efforts shifted limiting face-to-face interactions with individuals experiencing homelessness. A survey conducted by the National Alliance to End Homelessness concluded that almost a third of CoCs canceled their 2021 unsheltered PIT. The survey further reported that 85 percent of CoCs that completed the unsheltered count modified their data collection methodologies. Despite the typical factors of homelessness, the pandemic muddled many factors of unsheltered homelessness in 2021 because COVID-19 is associated with economic recession².

Unforeseen challenges significantly altered available services and their delivery. In some instances, shelters were forced to temporarily close which drastically reduced capacity. Additionally, social distancing measures further reduced capacity at shelters that remained open. Significant resources were dedicated to unanticipated costs such as personal protective equipment and cleaning/sanitizing, further straining nonprofit partners.

Although these setbacks were difficult, organizations across the NoCO CoC rose to the challenge to serve those most vulnerable. For example, Non-Congregate Shelters that served those most vulnerable to COVID-19 opened in both counties, sheltering those with the greatest need. A vacant building at a local assisted living facility in Weld County was established as a non-congregate shelter and in Larimer County a hotel was used for these purposes. Both shelters helped to make up for some of the beds lost at the shelters that annually operate; the data for both non-congregate shelters is included in this report.

Countless agencies and community partners cooperated across the NoCO CoC in order to protect this vulnerable population and to help stop community spread of COVID-19. The altered capacity of agencies throughout the Northern Colorado Continuum of Care was challenging yet not a deterrent. In the coming year the Northern Colorado Continuum of Care is focusing their attention on **ensuring the delivery of equitable services throughout the region as they strive** to continue to serve those most vulnerable and in need.

² <https://endhomelessness.org/disruptions-to-the-2021-pit-count-working-to-fill-data-gaps>

Northern Colorado CoC 2021 Point in Time Count

TABLE 1: Combined Overall Data (Larimer & Weld)

	Total (N=563)	
	Number of People	Percentage of People
Program Type		
Emergency Shelter	521	92%
Transitional Housing	42	8%
Unsheltered	N/A	N/A
Gender		
Male	361	64%
Female	200	36%
Gender Non-Conforming	0	0%
Transgender	2	<1%
Refused	0	0%
Age		
0-17	91	17%
18-24	29	5%
25-54	291	53%
55+	138	25%
Refused	4	<1%
Hispanic or Latino		
Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino	402	71%
Hispanic/Latino	161	29%
Refused	0	0%
Race		
American Indian/Alaska Native	38	7%
Asian	6	1%
Black or African American	31	6%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	5	1%
White	474	84%
Multiple Races	9	1%
Refused	0	0%

Northern Colorado CoC 2021 Point in Time Count

TABLE 1: Combined Overall Data (Larimer & Weld) (Continued)

	Total (N=563)	
	Number of People	Percentage of People
Veteran		
Yes	46	8%
No	500	91%
Refused	7	1%
Fleeing Domestic Violence		
Yes	105	19%
No	399	71%
Refused	59	10%
Disabling Condition		
Yes	251	46%
No	289	52%
Refused	13	2%
Specific Disabling Conditions		
Serious Mental Illness	133	24%
Developmental Disability	20	4%
Substance Use Disorder	109	20%
HIV/AIDS	0	0%
PTSD	34	6%
Brain Injury	14	3%
Chronic Physical Illness/ Disability	92	17%
Refused	0	0%
Chronic		
Yes	185	32%
No	377	68%
Refused	1	<1%
Number HH With Children		
Total Number of People in HH With Children	40	7%

Northern Colorado CoC 2021 Point in Time Count

TABLE 2: Combined Data Sheltered Population (Larimer & Weld) (Continued) – ES Only Questions

	Total In Emergency Shelters (N=521)	
	Number of People	Percentage of People
ES Only: Have you/your family been living in emergency shelters and/or on the streets continuously for a year or more?		
Yes	231	44%
No	163	31%
Refused	127	25%
ES Only: How many times have you had to stay in emergency shelters and/or on the streets in the past three (3) years?		
Fewer Than 4	197	38%
4 Times or More	246	47%
Refused	78	15%
ES Only: what was the total amount of time spent in emergency shelters and/or on the streets during these past three (3) years?		
Fewer Than 12 Months	236	45%
12 Months or More	238	46%
Refused	47	9%

Northern Colorado CoC 2021 Point in Time Count

TABLE 3: Larimer County Overall Data

	Total (N=348)	
	Number of People	Percentage of People
Program Type		
Emergency Shelter	348	100%
Transitional Housing	0	0%
Gender		
Male	224	64%
Female	115	33%
Gender Non-Conforming	0	0%
Transgender	2	<1%
Refused	7	2%
Age		
0-17	57	16%
18-24	15	4%
25-54	190	56%
55+	80	23%
Refused	6	<1%
Hispanic or Latino		
Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino	271	78%
Hispanic/Latino	66	19%
Refused	11	3%
Race		
American Indian/Alaska Native	26	7%
Asian	4	1%
Black or African American	20	6%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0%
White	269	77%
Multiple Races	4	1%
Refused	25	7%

Northern Colorado CoC 2021 Point in Time Count

TABLE 3: Larimer County Overall Data (Continued)

	Total (N=348)	
	Number of People	Percentage of People
Veteran		
Yes	26	7%
No	310	89%
Refused	12	3%
Fleeing Domestic Violence		
Yes	72	21%
No	267	76%
Refused	9	3%
Disabling Condition		
Yes	147	42%
No	187	54%
Refused	14	4%
Specific Disabling Conditions		
Serious Mental Illness	76	23%
Developmental Disability	11	3%
Substance Use Disorder	77	23%
HIV/AIDS	0	0%
PTSD	26	8%
Brain Injury	8	2%
Chronic Physical Illness/ Disability	36	11%
Refused	0	0%
Chronic		
Yes	106	31%
No	237	68%
Refused	0	0%
Number of HH With Children		
Total Number of People in HH With Children	28	8%

Northern Colorado CoC 2021 Point in Time Count

TABLE 4: Larimer County Sheltered Population (Continued) - ES Only Questions

	Total In Emergency Shelters In Larimer (N=348)	
	Number of People	Percentage of People
ES Only: Have you/your family been living in emergency shelters and/or on the streets continuously for a year or more?		
Yes	154	44%
No	86	25%
Refused	2	<1%
ES Only: How many times have you had to stay in emergency shelters and/or on the streets in the past three (3) years?		
Fewer Than 4	131	38%
4 Times or More	196	56%
Refused	11	3%
ES Only: What was the total amount of time spent in emergency shelters and/or on the streets during these past three (3) years?		
Fewer Than 12 Months	151	43%
12 Months or More	173	50%
Refused	17	5%

Northern Colorado CoC 2021 Point in Time Count

TABLE 5: Weld County Overall Data

	Total (N=215)	
	Number of People	Percentage of People
Program Type		
Emergency Shelter	172	80%
Transitional Housing	43	20%
Gender		
Male	130	60%
Female	83	39%
Gender Non-Conforming	0	0%
Transgender	0	0%
Refused	0	0%
Age		
0-17	36	17%
18-24	13	6%
25-54	103	48%
55+	63	29%
Refused	0	0%
Hispanic or Latino		
Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino	123	57%
Hispanic/Latino	90	42%
Refused	0	0%
Race		
American Indian/Alaska Native	10	5%
Asian	2	1%
Black or African American	8	4%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	5	2%
White	179	83%
Multiple Races	5	2%
Refused	2	1%

Northern Colorado CoC 2021 Point in Time Count

TABLE 5: Weld County Overall Data (Continued)

	Total (N=215)	
	Number of People	Percentage of People
Veteran		
Yes	19	9%
No	191	89%
Refused	0	0%
Fleeing Domestic Violence		
Yes	33	15%
No	139	65%
Refused	0%	0%
Disabling Condition		
Yes	110	51%
No	103	48%
Refused	0	0%
Specific Disabling Conditions		
Serious Mental Illness	56	26%
Developmental Disability	9	4%
Substance Use Disorder	32	15%
HIV/AIDS	0	0%
PTSD	8	4%
Brain Injury	6	3%
Chronic Physical Illness/ Disability	56	26%
Refused	0	0%
Chronic		
Yes	70	33%
No	145	67%
Refused	0	0%
Number of HH With Children		
Total Number of People in HH With Children	14	7%

Northern Colorado CoC 2021 Point in Time Count

TABLE 6: Weld County Sheltered Population Data (Continued) - ES Only

Questions



	Total In Emergency Shelters In Weld (N=215)	
	Number of People	Percentage of People
ES Only: Have you/your family been living in emergency shelters and/or on the streets continuously for a year or more?		
Yes	80	37%
No	80	37%
Refused	0	0%
ES Only: How many times have you had to stay in emergency shelters and/or on the streets in the past three (3) years?		
Fewer Than 4	92	43%
4 Times or More	66	31%
Refused	2	1%
ES Only: What was the total amount of time spent in emergency shelters and/or on the streets during these past three (3) years?		
Fewer Than 12 Months	89	41%
12 Months or More	67	31%
Refused	2	1%

Comparison between Larimer and Weld County

Chronic Homelessness

Chronic homelessness is defined as living unsheltered and/or in an emergency shelter for a year or more and having a disabling condition.



Thirty-three percent of people surveyed in Weld County were identified as experiencing chronic homelessness in 2021, while Larimer County identified 31% of people experiencing chronic homelessness.



Note: The above figures only present survey results that yielded a definitive answer to the question.

Disabling Conditions



A higher proportion of survey respondents in Weld County reported a disabling condition; 52% of those who answered the question in Weld County reported a disabling condition, compared to 42% of respondents in Larimer County reported a disabling condition. This influenced Weld County's higher proportion of individuals experiencing chronic homelessness, since having a disabling condition is necessary to meet the HUD criteria for chronic homelessness.



Note: The above figures only present survey results that yielded a definitive answer to the question.

Age

Larimer and Weld counties differed in the average ages of their homeless populations, primarily in the age category 25-54. In Larimer County, 57% of respondents were 25-54, and in Weld County, 48% of respondents were 25-54. Another notable difference was in the age category of 55+. Weld County had a higher proportion of older adults experiencing homelessness. Twenty-two percent of people were 55+ in Larimer County while 29% of people were 55+ in Weld County.





Note: The above figures only present survey results that yielded a definitive answer to the question.

Program Type

When comparing the data between the two counties, more of Weld County's data came from transitional housing programs. Twenty-five percent of Weld County's data was from transitional housing programs, while Larimer County's data reflects that they did not offer transitional housing programs on the night of the count.

Ethnicity



A much higher proportion of shelter guests in Weld County identified themselves as Hispanic/Latino; in Weld County, 57% of those who answered the question indicated that they were Hispanic/Latino. In Larimer County, 20% of those who answered the question indicated that they were Hispanic/Latino. This difference in ethnic makeup is reflective of the demographic makeup of each county; however, Hispanic and Latino populations are overrepresented in both counties, highlighting the racial disparities among people experiencing homelessness as seen in Appendix B.



Note: The above figures only present survey results that yielded a definitive answer to the question.

Veteran Status

Unlike 2020, fewer guests in Larimer County indicated that they were veterans. In Larimer County, 8% of those who answered the question indicated that they were veterans compared to 14% in 2020, and in Weld County, 9% of respondents indicated they were veterans compared to 5% in 2020.



Note: The above figures only present survey results that yielded a definitive answer to the question.

Northern Colorado CoC 2021 Youth Homelessness

A Deeper Dive: Youth Homelessness and Vulnerability beyond the PIT Count

Youth Homelessness Overview

As a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, a youth-specific count was not conducted in 2021. Because homeless youth were not specifically outreached to and counted, it is safe to assume that they are severely underrepresented in the sheltered data that was collected this year. Data previously collected reveals that homeless youth are constantly moving, making them difficult to capture in a sheltered count. Youth under the age of 17 have no shelter options, and 18-year-olds often view shelters as dangerous and intimidating. Barriers that prevent youth from entering shelters include but are not limited to stigma/shame, transportation to shelters, and lack of youth-oriented shelter services.

Homeless youth are often hidden and the true picture of youth homelessness is convoluted by different definitions of homelessness being used by different federal agencies. The McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act defines homeless youth as “individuals who lack a fixed, regular, and adequate nighttime residence,” which includes the following living situations due to economic hardship:

- Children and youths who are sharing the housing of other persons due to loss of housing, economic hardship, or a similar reason; are living in motels, hotels, trailer parks, or camping grounds due to the lack of alternative adequate accommodations; are living in emergency or transitional shelters; or are abandoned in hospitals;
- Children and youths who have a primary nighttime residence that is a public or private place not designed for or ordinarily used as a regular sleeping accommodation for human beings;
- Children and youths who are living in cars, parks, public spaces, abandoned buildings, substandard housing, bus or train stations, or similar settings;
- Migratory children (as such term is defined in section 1309 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965) who qualify as homeless for the purposes of this subtitle because the children are living in circumstances described above

Substandard housing is defined as the unit having one or more critical defects, or a combination of intermediate defects in sufficient number or extent to require considerable repair or rebuilding. This includes but is not limited to housing that does not have indoor plumbing, does not have electricity or has inadequate or unsafe electrical service, or does not have a safe or adequate source of heat.

When using the more inclusive Federal McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act definition, per the Colorado Department of Education, there were 3,181 homeless children grades K-12 in Larimer and Weld counties for the 2019-2020 school year. While this definition is more inclusive, it also is likely an undercount, since the available data focuses on students enrolled in school and homelessness often prevents school attendance. There are **many** youth in Northern Colorado who experience housing instability and have an unreliable source of shelter who are not included in sheltered PIT counts.

Northern Colorado CoC 2021 Housing Inventory Count

Combined Totals (Larimer & Weld Counties)

TABLE 7: HIC Summary

	Total Year Round Beds	Total Seasonal Beds	Total Overflow Beds	Chronic Beds	Veteran Beds	Youth Beds
Emergency Shelter & Transitional Housing	589	79	41	0	14	0
Emergency Shelter	520	79	41	0	14	0
Transitional Housing	69	0	0	0	0	0
Permanent Housing	631	0	0	76	187	0
Permanent Supportive Housing	443	0	0	76	155	0
Rapid Rehousing	159	0	0	0	32	0
Other Permanent Housing	29	0	0	0	0	0
Grand Total	1220	79	41	76	201	0

Northern Colorado CoC 2021 Housing Inventory Count

Program Specific Totals

TABLE 8: HIC Summary by Program

Organization Name	Project Name	Year-Round Beds	Total Seasonal Beds	Overflow Beds	PIT Count	Total Beds	Utilization Rate
A Woman's Place	A Woman's Place Shelter	29	0	0	9	29	31%
ADEO (Greeley, formerly Greeley Center for Independence)	Stephens Brain Injury Campus	10	0	0	9	10	90%
Alternatives to Violence (Loveland)	ATV Loveland Safehouse	22	0	0	9	22	41%
Alternatives to Violence (Loveland)	ATV Northern Front Range RRH	21	0	0	21	21	100%
Alternatives to Violence (Loveland)	TLC Permanent Supportive Housing	9	0	0	9	9	100%
Catholic Charities Northern (Ft. Collins)	HCHV/CERS - Catholic Charities VA	8	0	0	5	8	62%
Catholic Charities Northern (Ft. Collins)	The Mission Shelter (Extended Stay)	71	0	30	101	101	100%
Catholic Charities Northern (Greeley)	Guadalupe Apartments Greeley_PSH	36	0	0	28	36	78%
Catholic Charities Northern (Greeley)	Guadalupe Emergency Shelter_Greeley_ESG	60	0	0	59	60	98%
Colorado Division of Housing	NoCO CAHPS Tenant Based HSP_SHV	5	0	0	5	5	100%
Crossroads Safehouse (Ft. Collins)	Crossroads Safehouse	31	0	0	27	31	87%
Crossroads Safehouse (Ft. Collins)	CSH_A Road to Home ESG_RRH	1	0	0	1	1	100%
Crossroads Safehouse (Ft. Collins)	CSH_A Road to Home OVW_RRH	19	0	0	19	19	100%
Estes Valley Crisis Advocates (Estes Park, Formerly Estes Valley Victims Advocates)	My Sister's Place	9	0	0	0	9	0%
Family Housing Network	FHN_Emergency Shelter_ES	22	0	0	3	22	14%
Family Housing Network	FHN_ESGCV Rapid_RRH	4	0	0	4	4	100%
Ft. Collins Rescue Mission (Ft. Collins)	Ft. Collins Winter Shelter	166	0	0	136	166	82%
Greeley Family House	GFH_ESG_Emergency Shelter	40	0	0	35	40	88%
Greeley Family House	Camfield Corner Apartments	21	0	0	17	21	81%
Greeley Family House	GFH_ESG_RR	5	0	0	5	5	100%
Greeley Family House	GFH_Next Step II_RR	8	0	0	8	8	100%
Greeley Family House	GFH_NFR_RR	42	0	0	42	42	100%
Homeward Alliance	HOME_FUSE_DOH	20	0	0	11	20	55%
Homeward Alliance	HOME_Myrtle Recovery Site NCS_ES	20	0	0	3	20	15%
Homeward Alliance	HOME_NCS_ES	14	0	0	14	14	100%
House of Neighborly Service (Loveland)	Family Promise of Larimer County Bridge Housing	17	0	0	16	17	94%
House of Neighborly Service (Loveland)	Family Promise Shelter	18	0	0	5	18	28%

TABLE 8: HIC Summary by Program (Continued)

Organization Name	Project Name	Year-Round Beds	Total Seasonal Beds	Overflow Beds	PIT Count	Total Beds	Utilization Rate
Housing Catalyst	HCAT_1 st Street SRO_PH	13	0	0	13	13	100%
Housing Catalyst	HCAT_Myrtle SRO	16	0	0	8	16	50%
Housing Catalyst	HCAT_Redtail_Non PSH	20	0	0	18	20	90%
Housing Catalyst	HCAT_Redtail_PSH	30	0	0	30	30	100%
Housing Catalyst	HCAT_Redtail_VASH	10	0	0	9	10	90%
Housing Catalyst	HCAT_VASH_Vouchers	173	0	0	173	173	100%
Housing Catalyst	HCAT_Mason_Place_PSH	62	0	0	24	62	30%
Loveland Housing Authority (Loveland)	LHA_Youth PSH	6	0	0	6	6	100%
Loveland Housing Authority (Loveland)	VASH @ The Edge	10	0	0	10	10	100%
North Range Behavioral Health	NRBH Transitional Housing Program	12	0	0	8	12	67%
North Range Behavioral Health	NRBH_DOH_PSH	11	0	0	11	11	100%
North Range Behavioral Health	NRBH_Harmony Way_PSH	18	0	0	15	18	83%
Rocky Mountain Human Services	RMHS_SSVF-RRH-CO505_RRH	5	0	0	5	5	100%
Salvation Army (Loveland)	Salvation Army Motel Voucher Program	22	0	0	22	22	100%
Summit Stone Health Partners (Loveland)	SHP_Loveland_PSH	8	0	0	8	8	100%
Summit Stone Health Partners (Loveland)	SHP_DOH_PSH	15	0	0	15	15	100%
United Way of Weld County	UWWC_Bonell Non-Congregate Shelter_ES	0	40	0	37	40	92%
United Way of Weld County	UWWC_Cold Weather Shelter_ES	0	39	11	50	50	100%
Volunteers of America	VOA_COR3_RRH	24	0	0	24	24	100%
Volunteers of America	VOA_SSVF Priority 2 RP_ES	7	0	0	7	7	100%
Volunteers of America	VOA_SSVF Priority 2 RP_RRH	30	0	0	30	30	100%
		Sum: 1220	Sum: 79	Sum: 41	Sum: 1124		

Appendix A: Program Specific Statistics

TABLE A1: Larimer County Program Totals

Agency	House of Neighborly Service		Salvation Army		SHFC		Fort Collins Rescue Mission		Volunteers of America	
Program	Family Promise Program		Motel Program		Emergency Shelter		Blue Spruce Temporary Shelter		SSVF	
Program Type:	ES- 21 Guests		ES- 22 Guests		ES- 101 Guests		ES- 136 Guests		ES- 7 Guests	
	Number of People	Percentage of people	Number of People	Percentage of People	Number of People	Number of People	Number of People	Percentage of people	Number of People	Percentage of people
Gender:										
Male	11	52%	11	50%	37	37%	136	100%	5	71%
Female	10	48%	11	50%	63	63%	0	0%	2	29%
Transgender	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Gender Non-Conforming	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Refused	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Age:										
0-17	15	71%	0	0%	27	27%	0	0%	0	0%
18-24	1	5%	0	0%	8	8%	5	4%	0	0%
25-54	5	24%	14	64%	44	44%	100	73%	2	29%
55+	0	0%	8	36%	22	22%	30	22%	5	71%
Refused	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%
Ethnicity:										
Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino	2	10%	17	77%	80	79%	115	84%	0	0%
Hispanic/Latino	19	90%	5	23%	16	16%	20	15%	7	100%
Refused	0	0%	0	0%	5	5%	1	1%	0	0%
Race:										
American Indian/Alaska Native	0	0%	1	5%	20	20%	0	0%	3	43%
Asian	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	0	0%	1	5%	3	3%	6	4%	0	0%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	4	19%	19	86%	72	71%	130	96%	4	57%
Multiple Races	2	10%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Refused	15	71%	0	0%	5	5%	0	0%	0	0%
Veteran:										
Yes	0	0%	1	5%	11	11%	7	5%	6	86%
No	21	100%	21	95%	88	88%	124	91%	1	14%
Refused	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	5	4%	0	0%

TABLE A1: Larimer County Program Totals (Continued)

Agency	House of Neighborly Service		Salvation Army		SHFC		Fort Collins Rescue Mission		Volunteers of America	
Program	Family Promise Program		Motel Program		Emergency Shelter		Blue Spruce Temporary Shelter		SSVF	
Program Type:	ES- 21 Guests		ES- 22 Guests		ES- 101 Guests		ES- 135 Guests		ES- 7 Guests	
	Number of People	Percentage of people	Number of People	Percentage of People	Number of people	Percentage of people	Number of People	Percentage of people	Number of People	Percentage of people
Fleeing Domestic Violence:										
Yes	0	0%	4	18%	30	30%	3	2%	0	0%
No	21	100%	18	82%	67	66%	133	98%	2	100%
Refused	0	0%	0	0%	4	4%	0	0%	0	0%
Disabling Condition:										
Yes	1	5%	5	23%	26	26%	65	48%	6	86%
No	19	90%	16	73%	72	71%	68	50%	1	14%
Refused	0	0%	1	5%	3	3%	0	0%	0	0%
Specific Disabling Conditions:										
Serious Mental Illness	0	0%	5	23%	19	19%	40	30%	0	0%
Developmental Disability	1	5%	3	14%	0	0%	5	4%	0	0%
Substance Use Disorder	0	0%	7	32%	8	8%	55	41%	0	0%
HIV/AIDS	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
PTSD	0	0%	6	27%	0	0%	10	8%	0	0%
Brain Injury	0	0%	6	27%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Chronic physical illness/disability	0	0%	7	32%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%
Refused	0	0%	1	5%	13	13%	0	0%	0	0%
Chronic:										
Yes	0	0%	14	64%	9	9%	64	47%	3	43%
No	21	100%	8	36%	92	91%	72	53%	4	57%
Refused	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Household Composition:										
Number of households	21		19		70		136		6	
Number of households w/ children	4	19%	2	9%	13	13%	0	0%	0	0%

TABLE A1: Larimer County Program Totals (Continued)

Agency	Homeward Alliance		Homeward Alliance		Alternatives to Violence		Crossroads Ministry of Estes Park		Family Housing Network	
Program	Non-Congregate Shelter		COVID Isolation Site		Loveland Safehouse ES		Crossroads		Emergency Rotating Shelter	
Program Type:	ES- 14 Guests		ES- 3 Guests		ES- 9 Guests		ES- 27 Guests		ES- 2 Guests	
	Number of People	Percentage of people	Number of People	Percentage of people	Number of People	Percentage of people	Number of People	Percentage of people	Number of People	Percentage of people
Gender:										
Male	8	57%	3	100%	2	22%	10	38%	1	33%
Female	6	43%	0	0%	7	78%	15	58%	1	33%
Transgender	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	4%	1	33%
Gender Non-Conforming	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Refused	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Age:										
0-17	0	0%	0	0%	3	33%	10	37%	2	67%
18-24	0	0%	0	0%	1	11%	0	0%	0	0%
25-54	1	7%	3	100%	5	56%	15	56%	1	33%
55+	13	93%	0	0%	0	0%	2	7%	0	0%
Refused	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Ethnicity:										
Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino	12	86%	2	67	9	100%	24	89%	3	100%
Hispanic/Latino	2	14%	1	33%	0	0%	3	11%	0	0%
Refused	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Race:										
American Indian/Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	7%	0	0%
Asian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	11%	0	0%
Black or African American	1	7%	1	33%	0	0%	8	30%	0	0%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	13	93%	1	33%	9	100%	14	52%	3	100%
Multiple Races	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Refused	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Veteran:										
Yes	1	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
No	13	93%	3	100%	9	9%	27	100%	3	100%
Refused	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

TABLE A1: Larimer County Program Totals (Continued)

Agency	Homeward Alliance		Homeward Alliance		Alternatives to Violence		Crossroads Ministry of Estes Park		Family Housing Network	
Program	Non-Congregate Shelter		COVID Isolation Site		Loveland Safehouse ES		Crossroads		Emergency Rotating Shelter	
Program Type:	ES- 14 Guests		ES- 3 Guests		ES- 9 Guests		ES- 27 Guests		ES- 3 Guests	
	Number of People	Percentage of people	Number of People	Percentage of people	Number of People	Percentage of people	Number of People	Percentage of people	Number of People	Percentage of people
Fleeing Domestic Violence:										
Yes	0	0%	0	0%	8	89%	27	100%	0	0%
No	14	100%	3	100%	1	11%	0	0%	3	100%
Refused	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Disabling Condition:										
Yes	10	71%	1	33%	6	67%	13	26%	0	0%
No	0	0%	2	67%	3	33%	14	28%	3	100%
Refused	4	29%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Specific Disabling Conditions:										
Serious Mental Illness	0	0%	1	100%	3	33%	8	16%	0	0%
Developmental Disability	0	0%	0	0%	1	11%	0	0%	0	0%
Substance Use Disorder	0	0%	0	0%	1	11%	6	12%	0	0%
HIV/AIDS	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
PTSD	0	0%	0	0%	5	56%	5	10%	0	0%
Brain Injury	0	0%	0	0%	2	22%	0	0%	0	0%
Chronic physical illness/disability	10	100%	0	0%	1	11%	4	8%	0	0%
Refused	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Chronic:										
Yes	6	43%	1	33%	3	33%	7	26%	0	0%
No	8	57%	2	67%	0	0%	20	74%	3	100%
Refused	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Household Composition:										
Number of households	14		3		9		17		1	
Number of households w/ children	0	0%	0	0%	2	22%	6	22%	1	100%

TABLE A2: Weld County Program Totals

Agency	A Woman’s Place		Catholic Charities		Greeley Family House		Greeley Family House		North Range Behavioral Health	
Program	Emergency Shelter		Guadalupe Community Center		Emergency Shelter		Camfield Corner Apartments		Transitional Housing Program	
Program Type:	ES- 9 Guests		ES- 59 Guests		ES- 17 Guests		TH- 35 Guests		TH- 8 Guests	
	Number of People	Percentage of people	Number of People	Percentage of people	Number of People	Percentage of people	Number of People	Percentage of people	Number of People	Percentage of people
Gender:										
Male	3	33%	43	51%	7	41%	11	33%	4	50%
Female	6	67%	16	43%	10	59%	22	67%	4	50%
Transgender	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Gender Non-Conforming	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Refused	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Age:										
0-17	2	22%	4	7%	10	59%	20	57%	0	0%
18-24	0	0%	3	5%	2	12%	2	6%	0	0%
25-54	7	78%	35	59%	5	29%	6	17%	5	63%
55+	0	0%	17	29%	0	0%	7	20%	3	38%
Refused	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Ethnicity:										
Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino	7	78%	35	60%	8	47%	8	23%	6	75%
Hispanic/Latino	1	11%	23	40%	9	53%	27	77%	2	25%
Refused	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Race:										
American Indian/Alaska Native	1	11%	4	7%	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%
Asian	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	0%	0	0%	4	24%	0	0%	0	0%
White	8	89%	49	84%	13	76%	30	86%	8	100%
Multiple Races	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	9%	0	0%
Refused	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Veteran:										
Yes	0	0%	9	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
No	9	100%	45	83%	17	100%	35	100%	8	100%
Refused	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

TABLE A2: Weld County Program Totals (Continued)

Agency	A Woman's Place		Catholic Charities		Greeley Family House		Greeley Family House		North Range Behavioral Health	
Program	Emergency Shelter		Guadalupe Community Center		Emergency Shelter		Camfield Corner Apartments		Transitional Housing Program	
Program Type:	ES- 9 Guests		ES- 59 Guests		ES- 17 Guests		TH- 35 Guests		TH- 8 Guests	
	Number of People	Percentage of people	Number of People	Percentage of people	Number of People	Percentage of people	Number of People	Percentage of people	Number of People	Percentage of people
Fleeing Domestic Violence:										
Yes	9	100%	19	38%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
No	0	0%	31	62%	15	100%	35	100%	8	100%
Refused	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Disabling Condition:										
Yes	4	44%	27	46%	0	0%	30	86%	8	100%
No	5	56%	30	51%	17	100%	5	14%	0	0%
Refused	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Specific Disabling Conditions:										
Serious Mental Illness	0	0%	18	31%	0	0%	1	2%	8	100%
Developmental Disability	1	11%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%
Substance Use Disorder	1	11%	8	14%	0	0%	0	0%	4	50%
HIV/AIDS	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
PTSD	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Brain Injury	1	11%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%
Chronic physical illness/disability	3	33%	9	15%	0	0%	3	7%	0	0%
Refused	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Chronic:										
Yes	3	33%	19	32%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
No	6	67%	40	68%	17	100%	35	100%	8	100%
Refused	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Household Composition:										
Number of households	9		54		5		9		8	
Number of households w/ children	1	11%	1	2%	4	24%	6	17%	0	0%

TABLE A2: Weld County Program Totals (Continued)

Agency	United Way of Weld County		United Way of Weld County	
Program	Bonell Non-Congregate Shelter		Cold Weather Shelter	
Program Type:	ES- 37 Guests		ES- 50 Guests	
	Number of People	Percentage of People	Percentage of people	Percentage of people
Gender:				
Male	23	62%	39	78%
Female	14	38%	11	22%
Transgender	0	0%	0	0%
Gender Non-Conforming	0	0%	0	0%
Refused	0	0%	0	0%
Age:				
0-17	0	0%	0	0%
18-24	0	0%	6	12%
25-54	12	32%	33	66%
55+	25	68%	11	22%
Refused	0	0%	0	0%
Ethnicity:				
Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino	28	76%	31	62%
Hispanic/Latino	9	24%	19	38%
Refused	0	0%	0	0%
Race:				
American Indian/Alaska Native	0	0%	4	9%
Asian	0	0%	1	2%
Black or African American	2	6%	0	0%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	1	3%	0	0%
White	31	91%	40	85%
Multiple Races	0	0%	2	4%
Refused	0	0%	0	0%
Veteran:				
Yes	3	8%	7	14%
No	34	92%	43	86%
Refused	0	0%	0	0%

TABLE A2: Weld County Program Totals (Continued)

Agency	United Way of Weld County		United Way of Weld County	
Program	Bonell Non-Congregate Shelter		Cold Weather Shelter	
Program Type:	ES- 37 Guests		ES- 50 Guests	
	Number of People	Percentage of people	Number of People	Percentage of people
Fleeing Domestic Violence:				
Yes	0	0%	5	28%
No	37	100%	13	72%
Refused	0	0%	0	0%
Disabling Condition:				
Yes	29	78%	37	74%
No	8	22%	13	26%
Refused	0	0%	0	0%
Specific Disabling Conditions:				
Serious Mental Illness	8	22%	21	42%
Developmental Disability	1	3%	6	12%
Substance Use Disorder	3	9%	16	32%
HIV/AIDS	0	0%	0	0%
PTSD	8	22%	0	0%
Brain Injury	4	11%	0	0%
Chronic physical illness/disability	21	57%	20	40%
Refused	0	0%	0	0%
Chronic:				
Yes	21	57%	27	54%
No	16	43%	23	46%
Refused	0	0%	0	0%
Household Composition:				
Number of households	32		50	
Number of households w/ children	2	5%	0	0%

Appendix B: Comparisons to General Population

TABLE B1: Larimer County Comparison to General Population

	Percentage of Total Larimer Population (N=356,899)	Percentage of Total Larimer Surveyed Population (N=336)
Gender		
Male	49.8%	65%
Female	50.2%	34%
Age		
0-17	19.4%	17%
Ethnicity		
Hispanic/Latino	11.9%	20%
Race		
American Indian/ Alaska Native	1.1%	7%
Asian	2.4%	1%
Black or African American	1.2%	6%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0.1%	0%
White	92.6%	79%
Multiple Races	2.7%	1%
Veteran		
Yes	5.8%	6%

TABLE B2: Weld County Comparison to General Population

	Percentage of Total Weld Population (N=324,492)	Percentage of Total Surveyed Weld Population (N=215)
Gender		
Male	50.6%	60%
Female	49.4%	39%
Age		
0-17	25.9%	17%
Ethnicity		
Hispanic/Latino	30.0%	42%
Race		
American Indian/ Alaska Native	1.7%	5%
Asian	1.8%	1%
Black or African American	1.6%	4%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0.2%	2%
White	92.4%	83%
Multiple Races	2.3%	2%
Veteran		
Yes	4.9%	9%